

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/524,758	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AOYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> NATHAN BLOOM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624

**All Participants:**(1) NATHAN BLOOM.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) N. Meyer Zohn (Reg. 55761).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2008**Time:** 10:30 AM EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Claims 3, 9, and 14 in view of JP H11-196313***Claims discussed:**

3,9, 11-12, and 14

**Prior art documents discussed:***JP H11-196313***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

07/31/2008

/N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed (07/22/2008) that the independent claims 3, 9, and 14 be amended to overcome the newly cited prior art document JP H11-19631. Furthermore, correction of the dependency of claims 11-12 (dependent on cancelled claim) was proposed by the Examiner. Applicant and Examiner discussed (07/22/2008) and approved (07/31/2008) an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment for details) to claims 3, 9, 11-12, and 14.